



Bridge The Governance Gaps To Make India Developed By 2047.

Prof. Vineeta Pathak¹, Mr. Anubhav Dwivedi²

¹Professor, Department of Political Science, Deen Dayal Upadhyay Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur.

²Research Scholar, Department of Political Science, Deen Dayal Upadhyay Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur.

Abstract:

This research paper aims to address the governance gaps in India in order to achieve development by the year 2047. The paper explores the various challenges and obstacles that hinder the country's progress towards becoming a developed nation. By analyzing the current state of governance in India and identifying key areas for improvement, this study proposes strategies and recommendations to bridge the gap and pave the way for sustainable development.

This paper examines the role of governance in shaping India's development trajectory. It highlights the major issues which hinder effective governance and able leadership in promoting economic growth, human capital, social capital and social inclusion, and gender inclusion environmental sustainability. The study also considers the impact of corruption, bureaucratic inefficiency, trust deficit and political instability on the country's development prospects.

After discussing the major issues of governance, this research paper offers a comprehensive analysis of the governance challenges facing India. It argues that addressing these challenges is crucial for achieving the country's long-term development goals. The paper concludes with a set of policy recommendations aimed at strengthening governance institutions, enhancing transparency and accountability, and promoting good governance practices at all levels of government.

Overall, this research paper contributes to the ongoing debate on governance and development in India. By highlighting the importance of bridging the governance gap, it offers valuable insights for policymakers, researchers, and practitioners working towards the goal of making India a developed nation by 2047.

Keywords: Gender Inclusion, Human Capital, Trust Deficit, Social Capital, Social Inclusion, Able leadership, Sustainable Development, Good Governance.

Introduction:

India, the world's largest democracy and one of the fastest growing economies, is at a crucial point in its quest for sustainable development. With the ambition to become a developed nation by 2047, which will mark the centenary of its independence, India faces the challenge of closing the many governance gaps that hinder its progress.

Governance deficit in India refers to the gap between policy formulation and effective implementation coupled with lack of accountability and transparency in administrative systems.

Since its independence, the country has made significant progress in economic growth, technological innovation and social protection programs. But persistent problems such as ineffective governance, corruption, weak institutional frameworks and inequity in public service delivery continue to hamper India's development.

(Governance and Development in India)

Context : India Today is the world's 5th largest economy and the largest democratic country. How is a country considered developed? When the life expectancy of its people is high, the literacy level is high, and the per capita income level is also high. Countries like Norway and Finland, which have low levels of corruption and high levels of peace, happiness, and prosperity, exemplify this. Recently, Nobel Prize winners

(Daron Acemoglu, Simon Johnson, and James Robinson) stated that those countries are developed whose democratic institutions are strong, where people participate in decision-making, thus making the country developed.

So now the question arises: why is India in the developing country category? Because India is a country where the life expectancy rate is relatively low (67.7 years), the per capita income is low (\$6,951), there is a higher gender gap, a low level of skills, technological backwardness, less advantage of the demographic dividend, and lower social and human capital. Lower social capital exists as people are divided based on caste, religion, language, culture, ethnicity, and income. This has led to lower community involvement in development. Likewise, lower human capital still prevails in India in the form of poor people, the poor quality of education provided to youth, individuals lacking skills, people not getting employment, youth not having a proper capacity-building platform, and a lack of proper arrangements for unorganized workers. All this has led to poor human capital, which hinders the development of India.

There are various areas where India's governance system needs improvement. Governance still reels under a colonial mindset characterized by strict rule-based procedures, hierarchical processes, a toxic work culture, and poor training, which leads to elitism in bureaucracy based on the Weberian bureaucracy model.

As F.W. Riggs rightly said, some countries remain developing because they follow overlapping, heterogeneous formalism and the Sala model principles in their governance, where elites and privileged individuals receive better facilities than the common person. This births inequality in society.

Nevertheless, Our governance system has become robust after the implementation of the 73rd Amendment. It brought decentralization in governance and gave power to local people. After the efforts of the Mazdoor Kisan Shakti Sangathan, the RTI Act came into effect; it ensured the accountability of government officials. E-governance has also brought transparency and speedy delivery of public services. Recently, the government launched the Mission Karmyogi to reform the civil service. It led to strengthening the capabilities of civil servants. Likewise, The judiciary started live proceedings, which brought transparency to the judicial process. After the introduction of Direct Benefit Transfer, it has helped check corruption in governance. But on the other hand, there are still many problems in local governance, as many women are not holding the position of sarpanch; instead, their husbands are handling all activities. Additionally, due to a complex documentation process, the common citizen is unable to benefit from existing schemes. In urban areas, governance is still poor. There are many areas where low-income Slum areas are built, and residents are living under poor sanitation conditions and with poor quality of water, which creates a breeding ground for diseases. As Niti Aayog stated, governance has to roll out a master plan for city governance. When we will all have clean air, water, housing, electricity, good health, good education, good employment, peace, and prosperity. Then we all become developed.

However, governance is on the path to good governance, responsive governance, minimum government, more governance, e-governance, and smart governance to make India developed, but there are so many gaps that, due to this, India is still a developing country. If we fill these gaps, then we can become developed by 2047.

This paper identifies these governance gaps and provides policy recommendations to the government so that India becomes developed.

(Major Issues of Governance): A Study and Discussion

India's aspiration to become a developed nation by 2047 requires addressing critical governance issues that hinder its growth. The studies on governance in India highlights several areas where inefficiencies and systemic issues continue to impede progress. These key areas include institutional capacity, transparency, accountability, decentralization, each of which plays a pivotal role in shaping the country's development trajectory

1. Institutional Capacity and Effectiveness

Studies such as those of the World Bank (2022) and the Public Affairs Index (2020) highlight the challenges posed by weak institutional frameworks and bureaucratic inefficiency at various levels of government. These

institutions often lack the necessary resources and trained personnel to effectively implement policies and provide services. The National Institute of Finance and Public Policy (2022) also highlights the shortcomings of administrative systems, which affect the timely implementation of large development projects.

2. Transparency and Accountability

The topic of transparency in governance has been the subject of numerous studies, with researchers such as Transparency International (2023) highlighting the persistence of corruption despite legislative measures such as the Right to Information Act. Studies show that public trust is significantly eroded when accountability mechanisms fail to hold public officials accountable for mismanagement and corruption (Srinivasan, 2021).

3. Decentralization and Local Governance

Several studies, especially those of the Anand Institute of Rural Management (2021), emphasize the importance of governance decentralization to ensure the efficient allocation of resources and the consideration of local issues. However, the decentralization process in India has been undermined by financial constraints, political interference and insufficient autonomy given to local governments. Research by NITI Aayog (2023) also suggests that decentralization, when properly implemented, can improve accountability and service delivery.

4. Citizen Engagement and Public Participation

The role of citizen engagement in governance is receiving increasing attention, with scholars such as Nick Robinson (2013) emphasizing the need for more inclusive and participatory governance mechanisms. Studies carried out by the Center for Policy Research (2022) reveal that the involvement of citizens in decision-making processes is still low and poor, which reduces the effectiveness of policies.

5. Corruption and Governance

The persistence of corruption is a dominant theme in governance. Reports of CAG explain how it undermines governance at all levels. Corruption not only diverts resources but also weakens institutions by eroding public trust. And this, despite anti-corruption measures.

6. Field Work and Decision Making

Political decisions are often made without an adequate analysis of costs, benefits, trade-offs and consequences. Lack of preparation on the ground is one of the reasons for poor implementation. The fieldwork is seldom trusted in setting policies and programs; Field staff are still unsure of the methods and the techniques it uses to run these programs. Communication is generally one-way, i.e. top-down in the form of orders, directives, notices, circulars and memorandum(Yadav,2010)

As the CAG recently revealed, there is data-related fraud in implementing Ayushman Bharat schemes, which shows an integrity gap among public functionaries.

As a report from India Today states, there has been widespread protest against farm laws policy, causing significant economic losses. This happened due to the right information gap and communication gap.

Nick Robinson, in his article, “Closing the Implementation Gap: Grievance Redress and India’s Social Welfare Programs,” asserts that there has been a need for setting up ‘Janta Darbar’ by states due to poor implementation. Thus, our government brought several schemes and policies, but in reality, how many are implemented fully matters.

Chief Justice of India CV Ramana’s observations that the threat to human rights and physical integrity is “highest in police stations” should be a wake-up call for every Indian citizen. He expressed how there is an integrity gap among public officials.

Findings:

After discussing the above issues of governance, We found several gaps in governance. The gaps are as follows: skill gap, aptitude gap, integrity gap, efficiency gaps, emotional intelligence gap, information gap, data gaps, communication gap, citizen charter implementation gap, consistency gaps, feedback gaps, capacity-building gap, gap in the right amount of public funds, functionaries and frameworks and grievance redressal measures gap among public functionaries in governance and Most importantly there is a gap of gender inclusion . When government officials lack all of these, they do not make the right decisions, and good governance does not occur. As a result, it becomes necessary to bridge these gaps in governance to make India developed by 2047.

Suggestions:

- (1) The government should set up a legal integrity pact among public functionaries.
- (2) The government can make a Right to Implementation Act on the lines of the Right to Information Act for speedy development.
- (3) To win public trust and satisfaction and ensure the speedy development of the nation, the government should work on the effective implementation of the citizen charter.
- (4) The government can set up human capital and social capital building training centers so that citizens become smart citizens, leading to smart governance.
- (5) The government should try to involve common citizens more to make governance citizen-centric, making it accountable and transparent.
- (6) Those officials who are corrupt, ineffective, and unskilled should be given proper training to activate their heart and mind so that they can play a good role in national development.
- (7) The government can devise workshops, training centers, and capacity building and feedback sessions from time to time for public functionaries and citizens.

(8) To make India developed by 2047, the government should focus on collaboration among intelligent academia, skilled industries, cyber tech experts, and public and political functionaries to ensure governance is good governance.

(9) The government should address the gap in gender inclusion through affirmative action and a set of codes and training.

Conclusion:

Governance gaps are visible in public and private organizations; they are no longer hidden and pose barriers to the development of our country. According to the study by F. W. Riggs, we must move away from overlapping and formalism toward equal, citizen-friendly, and trust-building governance to transition our country from developing to developed. India's vision is to become a developed nation by 2047; for this, we must work on addressing every gap in governance so that it evolves into smart governance, which leads to smart citizens. E-governance is addressing many gaps; however, it is also deteriorating, so we require healthy cyber governance. When all these gaps are addressed, it results in good e-governance.

Still, it is not possible to establish an honest administration and governance without an honest mind.

Swami Vivekananda once observed: "You may make thousands of societies, twenty thousand political assemblages, fifty thousand institutions. They will be of no use unless there is that sympathy, that love, that heart that thinks for all." For this, we need to bridge the social capital gap in society and governance.

By bridging all governance gaps, we can make our country developed by 2047: with the slogan of "Sabka Saath, Sabka Vikas, Sabka Vishwas, and Sabka Prayas.

References:

1. Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2012). Why nations fail: The origins of power, prosperity, and poverty. Crown Publishing Group
2. ANI. (2021, 8 August). Custodial torture by police still prevails, not even privileged spared: CJI
3. CAG (Audit report 11, 2023). Performance Audit of Ayushman Bharat Jan Arogya Scheme
4. Human Development Report (HDR), 2023-24. "Breaking the Gridlock: Reimagining Cooperation in a Polarized World." UNDP
5. Khan, M. (2019). Governance and development. Routledge.
6. Niti Ayog Annual Report 2022-23
7. Public Affairs Index 2020 <https://pacindia.org/page/pai>
8. Robinson, N. (2013). Complaining to the state: grievance redress and India's social welfare programs. SSRN Electronic Journal. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2312647>

- 9.Singh, S. (2010). Locating State: Perspectives of Governance And Development In India. The Indian Journal of Political Science, 71(1), 123–132.
- 10.Subramanian,K.S.,(2018,23 May). People's Movement.Frontline.TheHindu.Com
- 11.Srinivasan,K.& Selvan,M.S.(2015).Governance and Development in India: A Review of Studies and Suggestions for Further Research.MIDS.Working paper 219
- 12.Singh, Darpan . (2017,13 June).From note ban to farm laws to Agnipath: The perils of communication gap.India Today
- 13..Tilman, R. O. (1965). [Review of Administration in Developing Countries., by F. W. Riggs]. The Journal of Politics, 27(3), 667–670. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2127745>
14. Yadav, S. (2010). PUBLIC POLICY AND GOVERNANCE IN INDIA: THE POLITICS OF IMPLEMENTATION. The Indian Journal of Political Science, 71(2), 439–457. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/42753707>

