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#### Abstract

Social media such as Whatsapp, Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, etc., are the primary sources of information which is totally computer-based technology. It facilitates the sharing of ideas, thoughts, and information to discuss their issues and opinions. They build virtual networks and communities to produce, share, and sometimes exchange ideas, images, videos and many more over the internet via computer, tablet or smartphone via web-based software or web application. People are surrounded by mobile devices and interactive social networking sites such as Twitter, Telegram, Messenger, Facebook, Whatsapp which has made the social media a vital aspect of their life. In this paper, an attempt is made to understand the impact of social media in Karimnagar and Jagtiyal districts of Telangana State by collecting data from 140 respondents using convenient sampling. The results show that there is difference between various variables of their demographic profile and the social media.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

A social media is an online platform and a web-based form of data communication which not only help people to build social networks but also social relations with other people who share similar personal or career interests, activities, backgrounds or real-life connections. The impact of social media and social networks on people is significantly growing. Social networking is the use of dedicated websites and applications to interact with other users, or to find people with similar interests to one's own. It is becoming increasingly clear that social networks have become part of people's lives. Many adolescent people are using their laptops, tablet computers and smart phones to check Tweets and status updates from their friends and family. Due to the advancement in technology, people are forced to accept different lifestyles. Social networking sites and Social media platforms are assisting people to become more socially capable by way of conversations, sharing information and creating web content. Social media with its various forms like blogs, micro-blogs, wikis, social networking sites, photo-sharing sites, instant messaging, videosharing sites, podcasts, widgets, virtual worlds, and more are connecting, communicating, entertaining and developing interest in and among people.

The advantages of Social media can be better understood at individual level, professional level and business level. At an individual level, social media allow us to communicate with our friends and relatives, gain knowledge of new things, develop hobbies and interests, and always be entertained. At a professional level, social media helps us to expand or broaden our knowledge in our respective discipline/field and build our professional network by connecting with other professionals in our industry. At the business level, social media helps us to connect with our audience, gain customer feedback, and build brand loyalty.

Social Media is a innovative platform wherein there are plenty of opportunities to utilize and there is an additional scope for advancements too. That is the reason why many organizations are making this medium to better their managerial and organizational practices. They, with the use of social networking, are using in advertising or communicating about their products or services in a more efficient way. People too, don't have to rely on the media or TV to get their daily dose of news or any information. It can all be obtained from a social networking site.

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Waseem Akram (2018) studied on the positive and negative effects of social media on society. He concluded that youth are found in contact with these media every day. Social media has may mislead individuals and organizations if false data is posted which may lead the training framework to disappointment, ineligible candidates' wrong promotion, misleading the productivity, individuals' security at risk and so on. He advised people to adopt positive aspects and leave negative aspects of social media.

Tarek A. El-Badawy et al. (2015) studied on the impact of social media on the academic development of school students. The study concluded that the social media does not impact their academic performance in any way. Though they spend lot of their time on social media, they manage to get good academic grades.

The article in Knowledge@Wharton in 2019 on the impact of Social Media: Is it replaceable? He had discussed that social media has taken the long way from being entertaining to part \& parcel of one's life. The fact that too much use of anything is unhealthy including social media, which is highly addictive in our society This article essentially asks the question as to whether social media could become potentially dangerous for mental health.

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research paper is based on primary data by administering questionnaire and secondary data collected from the online sources, different research papers and from the Google search engine. The data is collected using convenient sampling from the Karimnagar and Jagtiyal districts. The data is analyzed using SPSS package.

## DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

The demographic profile of the respondents using SPSS is analyzed and the same is placed in a single table for clear understanding.

## Demographic Profile of the Respondents

| Sl.No | Variable |  | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | Gender | Male | 72 | 51.4 |
|  |  | Female | 68 | 48.6 |
| 02 | Age | 18-20 | 24 | 17.1 |
|  |  | 21-29 | 38 | 27.1 |
|  |  | 30-35 | 40 | 28.6 |
|  |  | 36-40 | 20 | 14.3 |
|  |  | Above 40 | 18 | 12.9 |
| 03 | Education | School level | 34 | 24.3 |
|  |  | Under graduate | 42 | 30.0 |
|  | $\square$ | Post Graduate | 32 | 22.9 |
|  |  | M.Phil/Ph.D Scholar | 18 | 12.9 |
|  |  | other | -14 | 10.0 |
| 04 | Occupation | Private | 32 | 22.9 |
|  |  | Government | 32 | 22.9 |
|  |  | student | 18 | 12.9 |
|  |  | Home maker | 18 | 12.9 |
|  |  | business | 22 | 15.7 |
|  |  | other | 18 | 12.9 |
| 05 | Type of Family | Nuclear | 94 | 67.1 |
|  |  | Joint family | 46 | 32.9 |
| 06 | Marital Status | Married | 54 | 38.6 |
|  | - | Unmarried | 42 | 30.0 |
|  | - | Widow/widower | 16 | 11.4 |
|  |  | Separated | 10 | 7.1 |
|  | nem | Other | 18 , | 12.9 |
| 07 | Income | Upto 20000 | 28 | 20.0 |
|  | Cth | 20001-30000 | 56 | 40.0 |
|  | $\square$ | 30001-40000 | $30 \ldots$ | 21.4 |
|  |  | Above 40000 | 26 | 18.6 |
| 08 | Type of House | own | 32 | 22.9 |
|  |  | rented | 54 | 38.6 |
|  |  | donated | 26 | 18.6 |
|  |  | leasehold | 12 | 8.6 |
|  |  | other | 16 | 11.4 |
| 09 | Family Members | Up to 2 | 48 | 34.3 |
|  |  | 3-5 | 56 | 40.0 |
|  |  | Above 5 | 36 | 25.7 |
| 10 | Place of Living | rural | 42 | 30.0 |
|  |  | urban | 30 | 21.4 |
|  |  | semi-urban | 44 | 31.4 |
|  |  | other | 24 | 17.1 |
| 11 | District | Karimnagar | 92 | 65.7 |
|  |  | Jagtiyal | 48 | 34.3 |
|  | Total Respondents |  | 140 | 100 |

Source: Questionnaire
The majority of the respondents are male i.e. 51.4 percent followed by female i.e. 48.6 percent of age group 30 to 35 years. Many of them are undergraduates. Their occupation is that they are working in private and government organizations. Most of them have nuclear families. Most of them are married. Majority of the respondents are earning between Rs 20001 to 30000 per month. Most of them they live in rented house. They have 3 to 5 family members. Most of them belong to semi-urban areas. Most of the respondents are from Karimnagar district followed by Jagtiyal.

Table showing Users of Various Social Media
platforms by gender
MALE FEMALE

|  |  | Count | Column <br> $\%$ | N | Count | Column <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Social <br> Media | FB | 60 | $83.3 \%$ | 38 | $55.9 \%$ |  |
|  | Whatsapp | 60 | $83.3 \%$ | 68 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
|  | Instagram | 26 | $36.1 \%$ | 26 | $38.2 \%$ |  |
|  | Youtube | 68 | $94.4 \%$ | 68 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
|  | LinkedIN | 20 | $27.8 \%$ | 18 | $26.5 \%$ |  |
|  | Messenger | 20 | $27.8 \%$ | 14 | $20.6 \%$ |  |
|  | Telegram | 42 | $58.3 \%$ | 36 | $52.9 \%$ |  |
|  | Twitter | 52 | $72.2 \%$ | 40 | $58.8 \%$ |  |
|  | Snapchat | 34 | $47.2 \%$ | 34 | $50.0 \%$ |  |

## Pearson Chi-Square Tests

|  |  | Gender |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Social | Chi-square | 33.221 |
| Media | df | 9 |
|  | Sig. | $.000^{*}$ |

*. The Chi-square statistic is significant at the .05 level.
Majority of the female respondents are using Whatsapp and Youtube i.e. 100 percent whereas male members are using Youtube i.e. 94.4 percent, Facebook and Whatsapp i.e. 83.3 percent respectively. The Pearson Chi-square test is calculated. The result is that -

There is a significant difference between male and female regarding social media often used by them.
Table showing Users of Various Social Media platforms by Age

| Age/Social <br> Media | $18-20$ years |  | $21-29$ years |  | $30-35$ years |  | $36-40$ years |  | Above <br> years |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FB | 12 | $50.0 \%$ | 22 | $57.9 \%$ | 32 | $80.0 \%$ | 18 | $90.0 \%$ | 14 | $77.8 \%$ |
| Whatsapp | 24 | $100.0 \%$ | 38 | $100.0 \%$ | 30 | $75.0 \%$ | 18 | $90.0 \%$ | 18 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Instagram | 6 | $25.0 \%$ | 10 | $26.3 \%$ | 18 | $45.0 \%$ | 8 | $40.0 \%$ | 10 | $55.6 \%$ |
| Youtube | 24 | $100.0 \%$ | 38 | $100.0 \%$ | 36 | $90.0 \%$ | 20 | $100.0 \%$ | 18 | $100.0 \%$ |
| LinkedIN | 4 | $16.7 \%$ | 6 | $15.8 \%$ | 10 | $25.0 \%$ | 10 | $50.0 \%$ | 8 | $44.4 \%$ |
| Messenger | 6 | $25.0 \%$ | 2 | $5.3 \%$ | 10 | $25.0 \%$ | 8 | $40.0 \%$ | 8 | $44.4 \%$ |
| Telegram | 14 | $58.3 \%$ | 24 | $63.2 \%$ | 18 | $45.0 \%$ | 6 | $30.0 \%$ | 16 | $88.9 \%$ |
| Twitter | 10 | $41.7 \%$ | 28 | $73.7 \%$ | 30 | $75.0 \%$ | 14 | $70.0 \%$ | 10 | $55.6 \%$ |
| Snapchat | 6 | $25.0 \%$ | 20 | $52.6 \%$ | 20 | $50.0 \%$ | 12 | $60.0 \%$ | 10 | $55.6 \%$ |

## Pearson Chi-Square Tests

|  |  | Age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Social <br> Media | Chi-square | 111.252 |
|  | df | 36 |
|  | Sig. | $.000^{*}$ |

*. The Chi-square statistic is significant at the .05 level.
Majority of the respondents of age groups 18-20, 21-29 and above 40 years are using Whatsapp to 100 percent whereas the age groups $18-20,21-29,36-40$ and above 40 years are using Youtube to 100 percent. The Pearson Chi-square test is calculated. The result is that -

There is a significant difference between different age groups regarding social media often used by them.
Table showing Users of Various Social Media platforms by Education

| Education/Social <br> Media | School <br> Education | Under <br> graduation |  | Post <br> graduation | M.phil/Ph.D <br> Scholar | Other |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Pearson Chi-Square Tests

|  |  | Education |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Social | Chi-square | 62.325 |
| Media | df | 36 |
|  | Sig. | $.004^{*}$ |

*. The Chi-square statistic is significant at the .05 level.

Majority of the respondents who possessed school education, M.phil / Ph.D scholars and who have other educational qualifications like diploma, Ph.D etc., are using Whatsapp and Youtube to 100 percent. The Pearson Chi-square test is calculated. The result is that -

There is a significant difference between different educational background groups regarding social media often used by them.

Table showing Users of Various Social Media platforms by Occupation

| Occupation/Social <br> Media | Private |  | Government |  | Student |  | Home maker |  | Business |  | Other |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FB | 26 | $81.3 \%$ | 22 | $68.8 \%$ | 12 | $66.7 \%$ | 8 | $44.4 \%$ | 18 | $81.8 \%$ | 12 | $66.7 \%$ |
| Whatsapp | 32 | $100.0 \%$ | 30 | $93.8 \%$ | 16 | $88.9 \%$ | 18 | 100.0 <br> $\%$ | 18 | $81.8 \%$ | 14 | $77.8 \%$ |
| Instagram | 14 | $43.8 \%$ | 12 | $37.5 \%$ | 6 | $33.3 \%$ | 4 | $22.2 \%$ | 10 | $45.5 \%$ | 6 | $33.3 \%$ |
| Youtube | 32 | $100.0 \%$ | 30 | $93.8 \%$ | 16 | $88.9 \%$ | 18 | 100.0 <br> $\%$ | 22 | $100.0 \%$ | 18 | $100.0 \%$ |
| LinkedIN | 12 | $37.5 \%$ | 8 | $25.0 \%$ | 4 | $22.2 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 8 | $36.4 \%$ | 6 | $33.3 \%$ |
| Messenger | 12 | $37.5 \%$ | 6 | $18.8 \%$ | 4 | $22.2 \%$ | 2 | $11.1 \%$ | 6 | $27.3 \%$ | 4 | $22.2 \%$ |
| Telegram | 22 | $68.8 \%$ | 20 | $62.5 \%$ | 10 | $55.6 \%$ | 6 | $33.3 \%$ | 12 | $54.5 \%$ | 8 | $44.4 \%$ |

## Pearson Chi-Square Tests

|  |  | Occupation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Social | Chi-square | 71.939 |
| Media | df | 45 |
|  | Sig. | $.007^{*}$ |

*. The Chi-square statistic is significant at the .05 level.

Majority of the respondents who are working in private sector, home makers are using Whatsapp and Youtube to 100 percent and apart from mentioned occupations, business people and other occupations are using Youtube to 100 percent. The Pearson Chi-square test is calculated. The result is that -
There is a significant difference between different occupations regarding social media often used by them.

Table showing Users of Various Social Media platforms by Type of family

|  | Nuclear |  |  |  | Joint family |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Count | Column <br> $\%$ | N |  | Column <br> $\%$ |
| Social <br> Media | FB | 68 | $72.3 \%$ | 30 | $65.2 \%$ |  |
|  | Whatsapp | 84 | $89.4 \%$ | 44 | $95.7 \%$ |  |
|  | Instagram | 46 | $48.9 \%$ | 6 | $13.0 \%$ |  |
|  | Youtube | 92 | $97.9 \%$ | 44 | $95.7 \%$ |  |
|  | LinkedIN | 30 | $31.9 \%$ | 8 | $17.4 \%$ |  |
|  | Messenger | 22 | $23.4 \%$ | 12 | $26.1 \%$ |  |
|  | Telegram | 58 | $61.7 \%$ | 20 | $43.5 \%$ |  |
|  | Twitter | 64 | $68.1 \%$ | 28 | $60.9 \%$ |  |

## Pearson Chi-Square Tests

Type of

|  |  | family |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Social | Chi-square | 28.539 |
| Media | df | 9 |
|  | Sig. | $.001^{*}$ |

## *. The Chi-square statistic is significant

 at the .05 level.Nuclear families are using Youtube i.e. 97.9 percent whereas Joint families are using Whatsapp and Youtube i.e. 95.7 percent. The Pearson Chi-square test is calculated. The result is that -

There is a significant difference between different types of family regarding social media often used by them.

Table showing Users of Various Social Media platforms by Marital Status

| Marital <br> Status/Social <br> Media | Married |  | Unmarried |  | Widow/Widower |  | Separated |  | Other |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FB | 36 | $66.7 \%$ | 24 | $57.1 \%$ | 12 | $75.0 \%$ | 8 | $80.0 \%$ | 18 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Whatsapp | 54 | $100.0 \%$ | 34 | $81.0 \%$ | 16 | $100.0 \%$ | 8 | $80.0 \%$ | 16 | $88.9 \%$ |
| Instagram | 22 | $40.7 \%$ | 16 | $38.1 \%$ | 4 | $25.0 \%$ | 2 | $20.0 \%$ | 8 | $44.4 \%$ |
| Youtube | 54 | $100.0 \%$ | 38 | $90.5 \%$ | 16 | $100.0 \%$ | 10 | $100.0 \%$ | 18 | $100.0 \%$ |
| LinkedIN | 18 | $33.3 \%$ | 10 | $23.8 \%$ | 4 | $25.0 \%$ | 2 | $20.0 \%$ | 4 | $22.2 \%$ |
| Messenger | 20 | $37.0 \%$ | 6 | $14.3 \%$ | 4 | $25.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 4 | $22.2 \%$ |
| Telegram | 34 | $63.0 \%$ | 26 | $61.9 \%$ | 10 | $62.5 \%$ | 4 | $40.0 \%$ | 4 | $22.2 \%$ |
| Twitter | 42 | $77.8 \%$ | 26 | $61.9 \%$ | 8 | $50.0 \%$ | 6 | $60.0 \%$ | 10 | $55.6 \%$ |
| Snapchat | 28 | $51.9 \%$ | 18 | $42.9 \%$ | 10 | $62.5 \%$ | 4 | $40.0 \%$ | 8 | $44.4 \%$ |

## Pearson Chi-Square Tests

| Marital <br> status |
| :--- |
| 71.154 |
| 36 |
| $.000^{*}$ |

*. The Chi-square statistic is significant at the .05 level.
Majority of the respondents who are married and widow/widower are using Whatsapp, who are falling in other category like living together etc., are using Facebook and those who are married, widow/widower and other are using Youtube i.e. 100 percent. The Pearson Chi-square test is calculated. The result is that - There is a significant difference between marital status regarding social media often used by them.

Table showing Users of Various Social Media platforms by Income

| Income/Social <br> Media | Up to 20000 |  | $20001-30000$ |  | $30001-40000$ |  | Above 40000 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FB | 24 | $85.7 \%$ | 40 | $71.4 \%$ | 18 | $60.0 \%$ | 16 | $61.5 \%$ |
| Whatsapp | 28 | $100.0 \%$ | 50 | $89.3 \%$ | 26 | $86.7 \%$ | 24 | $92.3 \%$ |
| Instagram | 10 | $35.7 \%$ | 14 | $25.0 \%$ | 18 | $60.0 \%$ | 10 | $38.5 \%$ |
| Youtube | 28 | $100.0 \%$ | 52 | $92.9 \%$ | 30 | $100.0 \%$ | 26 | $100.0 \%$ |
| LinkedIN | 8 | $28.6 \%$ | 16 | $28.6 \%$ | 10 | $33.3 \%$ | 4 | $15.4 \%$ |
| Messenger | 4 | $14.3 \%$ | 16 | $28.6 \%$ | 8 | $26.7 \%$ | 6 | $23.1 \%$ |
| Telegram | 16 | $57.1 \%$ | 36 | $64.3 \%$ | 16 | $53.3 \%$ | 10 | $38.5 \%$ |
| Twitter | 18 | $64.3 \%$ | 34 | $60.7 \%$ | 24 | $80.0 \%$ | 16 | $61.5 \%$ |
| Snapchat | 12 | $42.9 \%$ | 28 | $50.0 \%$ | 18 | $60.0 \%$ | 10 | $38.5 \%$ |

## Pearson Chi-Square Tests

|  |  | Income |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Social | Chi-square | 42.166 |
| Media | df | 27 |
|  | Sig. | $.032^{*}$ |

*. The Chi-square statistic is significant at the .05 level.

Majority of the respondents who are earning Rs up to 20000 are using Whatsapp to 100 percent whereas who are earning up to Rs 20000, 31000-40000 and above 40000 are using YouTube to 100 percent. The Pearson Chi-square test is calculated. The result is that -
There is a significant difference between Income level regarding social media often used by them.
Table showing Users of Various Social Media platforms by Type of House

| Type of <br> onse/social <br> houn <br> media | own |  | rented |  | donated |  | leasehold |  | other |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FB | 28 | $87.5 \%$ | 40 | $74.1 \%$ | 14 | $53.8 \%$ | 8 | $66.7 \%$ | 8 | $50.0 \%$ |
| Whatsapp | 30 | $93.8 \%$ | 50 | $92.6 \%$ | 20 | $76.9 \%$ | 12 | $100.0 \%$ | 16 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Instagram | 12 | $37.5 \%$ | 22 | $40.7 \%$ | 12 | $46.2 \%$ | 4 | $33.3 \%$ | 2 | $12.5 \%$ |
| Youtube | 32 | $100.0 \%$ | 54 | $100.0 \%$ | 22 | $84.6 \%$ | 12 | $100.0 \%$ | 16 | $100.0 \%$ |
| LinkedIN | 6 | $18.8 \%$ | 24 | $44.4 \%$ | 4 | $15.4 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 4 | $25.0 \%$ |
| Messenger | 10 | $31.3 \%$ | 20 | $37.0 \%$ | 4 | $15.4 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Telegram | 22 | $68.8 \%$ | 34 | $63.0 \%$ | 8 | $30.8 \%$ | 6 | $50.0 \%$ | 8 | $50.0 \%$ |
| Twitter | 18 | $56.3 \%$ | 44 | $81.5 \%$ | 12 | $46.2 \%$ | 8 | $66.7 \%$ | 10 | $62.5 \%$ |
| Snapchat | 16 | $50.0 \%$ | 34 | $63.0 \%$ | 8 | $30.8 \%$ | 4 | $33.3 \%$ | 6 | $37.5 \%$ |
| FB | 28 | $87.5 \%$ | 40 | $74.1 \%$ | 14 | $53.8 \%$ | 8 | $66.7 \%$ | 8 | $50.0 \%$ |

## Pearson Chi-Square Tests

|  |  | Type <br> house |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Social | Chi-square | 107.919 |
| Media | df | 36 |
|  | Sig. | $.000^{*}$ |

*. The Chi-square statistic is significant at the .05 level.

Majority of the respondents who live in leasehold house and other like villas, ancestral house etc., are using Whatsapp to 100 percent whereas who are living in their own house, rented, leasehold and other are using

YouTube to 100 percent. The Pearson Chi-square test is calculated. The result is that - There is a significant difference between types of house regarding social media often used by them.

Table showing Users of Various Social Media platforms by Family Members

|  |  | upto 2 |  |  |  | Above 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Count | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Column } & \mathrm{N} \\ \% & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Count | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Column } & \mathrm{N} \\ \% & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Count | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Column } & \mathrm{N} \\ \% & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Social | FB | 32 | 66.7\% | 40 | 71.4\% | 26 | 72.2\% |
| Media | Whatsapp | 40 | 83.3\% | 52 | 92.9\% | 36 | 100.0\% |
|  | Instagram | 22 | 45.8\% | 22 | 39.3\% | 8 | 22.2\% |
|  | Youtube | 46 | 95.8\% | 54 | 96.4\% | 36 | 100.0\% |
|  | LinkedIN | 22 | 45.8\% | 14 | 25.0\% | 2 | 5.6\% |
|  | Messenger | 18 | 37.5\% | 10 | 17.9\% | 6 | 16.7\% |
|  | Telegram | 26 | 54.2\% | 30 | 53.6\% | 22 | 61.1\% |
|  | Twitter | 34 | 70.8\% | 40 | 71.4\% | 18 | 50.0\% |
|  | Snapchat | 28 | 58.3\% | 24 | 42.9\% | 16 | 44.4\% |

Pearson Chi-Square Tests

|  |  | Family <br> members |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Social | Chi-square | 47.228 |
| Media | df | 18 |
|  | Sig. | $.000^{*}$ |

*. The Chi-square statistic is significant at the .05 level.

Majority of the respondents who are having more five members are using Whatsapp and YouTube to 100 percent. The Pearson Chi-square test is calculated. The result is that - There is a significant difference between family members regarding social media often used by them.

Table showing Users of Various Social Media platforms by Place of living

| Place of <br> living/Social <br> Media | rural |  | urban |  | Semi-urban |  | other |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FB | 28 | $66.7 \%$ | 18 | $60.0 \%$ | 34 | $77.3 \%$ | 18 | $75.0 \%$ |
| Whatsapp | 34 | $81.0 \%$ | 30 | $100.0 \%$ | 42 | $95.5 \%$ | 22 | $91.7 \%$ |
| Instagram | 20 | $47.6 \%$ | 12 | $40.0 \%$ | 16 | $36.4 \%$ | 4 | $16.7 \%$ |
| Youtube | 38 | $90.5 \%$ | 30 | $100.0 \%$ | 44 | $100.0 \%$ | 24 | $100.0 \%$ |
| LinkedIN | 18 | $42.9 \%$ | 8 | $26.7 \%$ | 12 | $27.3 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Messenger | 10 | $23.8 \%$ | 8 | $26.7 \%$ | 16 | $36.4 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Telegram | 24 | $57.1 \%$ | 16 | $53.3 \%$ | 26 | $59.1 \%$ | 12 | $50.0 \%$ |
| Twitter | 26 | $61.9 \%$ | 24 | $80.0 \%$ | 24 | $54.5 \%$ | 18 | $75.0 \%$ |
| Snapchat | 24 | $57.1 \%$ | 16 | $53.3 \%$ | 22 | $50.0 \%$ | 6 | $25.0 \%$ |

## Pearson Chi-Square Tests

> Place of

|  |  | living |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Social | Chi-square | 67.984 |
| Media | df | 27 |
|  | Sig. | $.000^{*}$ |

*. The Chi-square statistic is significant at the .05 level.
Majority of the respondents who are living in urban area are using Whatsapp to 100 percent and those living in Urban, semi-urban and other like tribal areas etc., are using YouTube to 100 percent. The Pearson Chisquare test is calculated. The result is that - There is a significant difference between places of living regarding social media often used by them.

Table showing Users of Various Social Media
platforms by District

|  | karimnagar |  |  |  | Jagtiyal |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Count | Column <br> $\%$ | N |  | Column <br> $\%$ |
| Social <br> Media | FB | 64 | $69.6 \%$ | 34 | $70.8 \%$ |  |
|  | Whatsapp | 84 | $91.3 \%$ | 44 | $91.7 \%$ |  |
|  | Instagram | 28 | $30.4 \%$ | 24 | $50.0 \%$ |  |
|  | Youtube | 88 | $95.7 \%$ | 48 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
|  | LinkedIN | 20 | $21.7 \%$ | 18 | $37.5 \%$ |  |
|  | Messenger | 18 | $19.6 \%$ | 16 | $33.3 \%$ |  |
|  | Telegram | 58 | $63.0 \%$ | 20 | $41.7 \%$ |  |
|  | Twitter | 56 | $60.9 \%$ | 36 | $75.0 \%$ |  |
|  | Snapchat | 38 | $41.3 \%$ | 30 | $62.5 \%$ |  |

## Pearson Chi-Square Tests

|  |  | District |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Social | Chi-square |  | 28.874 |
| Media | df | 9 |  |
|  | Sig. | $.001^{*}$ |  |

*. The Chi-square statistic is significant at the .05 level.

Majority of the respondents who are living in Jagtiyal are using YouTube to 100 percent and Whatsapp to 91.7 percent and those who are living in Karimnagar are using Youtube to 95.7 percent and Whatsapp to 91.3 percent. The Pearson Chi-square test is calculated. The result is that - There is a significant difference between district regarding social media often used by them.

## Number of social media actively used

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Valid | 2 | 40 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 28.6 |
|  | 3 | 28 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 48.6 |
| 4 | 30 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 70.0 |  |
|  | 5 | 14 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 80.0 |
|  | $>5$ | 28 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 140 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Majority of the respondents are using up to 2 social media platforms i.e. 28.6 percent.

## Frequency of use per day

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | < 2 times | 40 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 28.6 |
|  | 3-5 times | 60 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 71.4 |
|  | $>5$ times | 40 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 140 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Majority of the respondents are using social media platforms for 3-5 times per day.

## Know Social media ethics

|  |  |  |  | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Pes | 34 | 24.3 |
|  | no | 48 | 34.3 | 34.3 | 24.3 |
|  | nay be | 58 | 41.4 | 41.4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 140 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Majority of the respondents say when asked whether they know social media ethics they said they may be i.e. 41.4 per cent.

Table showing the reasons for posting in Social Media by Gender

|  |  | MALE |  |  | FEMALE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Count | Column \% |  | Count | Column \% |
| Posting Reasons | Creating Awareness | 58 | 89.2\% |  | 57 | 98.3\% |
|  | fun | 53 | 81.5\% |  | 57 | 98.3\% |
|  | Time pass | 56 | 86.2\% |  | 56 | 96.6\% |
|  | bad Impression | 18 | 27.7\% |  | 7 | 12.1\% |
|  | For people to hate | 15 | 23.1\% |  | 5 | 8.6\% |
|  | other | 58 | 89.2\% |  | 57 | 98.3\% |

## Pearson Chi-Square Tests

|  |  | Gender |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Posting Reasons | Chi-square | 30.725 |
|  | df | 6 |
|  | Sig. | $.000^{*}$ |

*. The Chi-square statistic is significant at the .05 level.

Majority of the female respondents post in social media for creating awareness and fun i.e. 98.3 per cent followed by time pass i.e. 96.6 per cent whereas male respondents post in social media for creating awareness i.e. 89 per cent followed by for time pass i.e. 86.2 per cent and for fun i.e. 81.5 per cent. The Pearson Chi-square test is calculated. The result is that - There is a significant difference between gender and reasons for posting in social media often used by them.

Table showing the Social Media often used for by Gender

*. The Chi-square statistic is
significant at the .05 level.
Majority of the female respondents often use social media for status update, photos and video sharing i.e. 89.7 per cent followed by communicating with friends/relatives, pictures sharing and playing games i.e. 83.8 per cent whereas Majority of the male respondents often use social media for status update i.e. 87.5 per cent, photos i.e. 83.3 per cent and video sharing i.e. 83.3 per cent followed by communicating with friends/relatives and playing games i.e. 80.6 per cent. The Pearson Chi-square test is calculated. The result is that - There is a significant difference between gender and social media often used for by the respondents.

## CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

The period of COVID-19 pandemic forced everyone to move dramatically towards online channels and the web-based social media and social networking. They have turned into the routine for every last individual. Online networking has expanded the quality of life of people. All are found in contact with these media every day.
The research paper concluded that there is significant difference between various demographic profiles and the use of social media. Most of them are using Whatsapp and YouTube. They are not sure that they know social media ethics. Most of the respondents are mostly using social media for general purposes like creating awareness, fun, pictures $\&$ videos sharing and so on but not for business purpose or academic purpose. The frequency of use of social media is also limited to 3 to 5 times per day.

Last but not least, all the people are advised to adopt the social media not only for general purposes but also for academic and business purposes. We can avail the benefits of these latest and emerging technologies to maximum extent by increasing the frequency of usage and the number of social media platforms. They have to know major social media ethics so that their privacy is secured.
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