Development of electrochemical method for the determination of 4-Aminoantipyrine at graphene modified glassy carbon electrode and its analytical applications
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ABSTRACT

It is important to develop a simple, low cost sensitive, rapid, reliable and reproducible method for detecting ingredients in drugs since it is essential in quality control laboratory. Electrochemical methods are versatile and powerful analytical techniques that offer high sensitivity, precision, and accuracy as well as broader linearity range, with relatively low-cost instrumentation. The electroanalytical studies are more regularly used in industrial, environmental applications and in the drug analysis in their dosage forms and especially in biological samples.

Graphene is a good material for sensors. Every carbon atom in graphene is responsible to its environment and can sense changes in its surroundings. Graphene provides micro size sensors capable of detecting individual events on a molecular level. Graphene sheets have excellent electron conducting and high electrocatalytic properties, they have been employed in optoelectronic devices, fabricated field-effect transistors and batteries. The extreme sensitivity of graphene-based sensors was tuned to individual and simultaneous voltammetric determination of dopamine, ascorbic acid, uric acid hydroquinone & catechol and individual determination of aspirin and kojic acid.

4-Aminoantipyrine (AAP, as shown in Scheme 1) is an aromatic substance with analgesic, antipyretic and anti-inflammatory properties. However, AAP usually produces side effects such as the risk of agranulocytosis. Although AAP is scarcely ever administered as an analgesic because of side effects, as a raw material, it is mostly used to produce 4-aminoantipyrine derivatives, which have better biological activities. In addition, it is used as a reagent for biochemical reactions producing peroxides or phenols and can also be used to detect phenols in the environment. Since it is widely used in the pharmaceutical industry, biochemical research and environmental monitoring, AAP has become an environmental pollutant. The toxic effect of AAP on experimental animals was
reported\(^{23}\). AAP can reduce blood flow\(^{24}\) and 13,14-dihydro-15-keto prostaglandin F2 alpha concentration\(^{25}\) after it is infused into the blood. AAP can form stable complexes with heme\(^{26}\). Different methods have been reported for the determination of AAP including liquid and gas chromatography, spectrophotometric method\(^{27-29}\), liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry\(^{30}\), capillary electrophoresis\(^{31}\), solid phase spectrophotometry\(^{32}\), different HPLC methods\(^{33-35}\) and voltammetric method by using graphite pencil electrode\(^{36}\). The main problems encountered in using some methods are time-consuming extraction and separation procedure and high cost.

![Scheme 1: Chemical Structure of 4-Aminoantipyrine (AAP)](image)

To the best of our knowledge, there are no reports based on graphene modified glassy carbon electrode for the determination of AAP. Hence, we have undertaken the development of electrochemical method for the determination of AAP at graphene modified electrode in this discussion.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**2.1. Materials and Reagents**

The powdered form of 4-Aminoantipyrine (AAP) was obtained from Sigma Aldrich and used without further purification. A stock solution (1.0 mM) of AAP was prepared in double distilled water. The phosphate buffers from pH 3.0 – 9.2 were prepared in double distilled water as described by Christian and Purdy\(^{37}\). All chemicals used were of reagent grade and double distilled water was used throughout the work. A solution of AAP was prepared by dissolving an appropriate amount of recrystalised sample in double distilled water. The required concentration of AAP was used from its aqueous solution.

**2.2. Instrumentation**

Electrochemical measurements were carried out on a CHI 630D electrochemical analyzer (CH Instruments Inc., USA). The voltammetric measurements were carried out in a 10 ml single compartment three-electrode glass cell with Ag/AgCl as a reference electrode, a platinum wire as counter electrode and GPN modified with GCE (GPN/GCE) as a working electrode. All the potentials are given against the Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl). All experiments were carried out at an ambient temperature of 25°C ± 0.1°C. The pH measurements were performed with Elico LI120 pH meter (Elico Ltd., India).

At different scan rates, the area of the electrode was calculated using 1.0 mM K\(_3\)[Fe(CN)\(_6\)] as a probe. For a reversible process, the Randles-Sevcik formula has been used\(^{38}\).

\[
i_{pa} = (2.69 \times 10^5)n^{3/2}AD_0^{1/2}C_0 \nu^{1/2}
\]

where, \(i_{pa}\) refers to the anodic peak current, \(n\) is the number of electrons transferred, \(A\) is the surface area of the electrode, \(D_0\) is diffusion coefficient, \(\nu\) is the scan rate and \(C_0\) is the concentration of K\(_3\)[Fe(CN)\(_6\)]. For 1.0 mM K\(_3\)[Fe(CN)\(_6\)] in 0.1 M KCl electrolyte, \(n = 1, D_0 = 7.6 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2\text{s}^{-1}\), then from
the slope of the plot of \( i_{\text{pa}} \) versus \( \nu^{1/2} \) relation, the surface area of electrodes were calculated and found to be 0.0462 cm\(^2\) for GCE and 0.295 cm\(^2\) for GPN/GCE.

### 2.3. Synthesis of GPN

Graphene oxide (GO) was synthesized from natural graphite powder by the Hummer’s method.\(^{39}\) In brief, natural graphite powder was treated with concentrated sulfuric acid and hydrochloric acid with potassium permanganate for 96 hours. After complete oxidation of graphite, the oxidized mixture was added to excess water, washed with 5% HCl and repeatedly treated with water to obtain neutral graphite oxide. Then through extreme heating and continuous splitting of graphite oxide, fabric graphene sheets were obtained.

### 2.4. Preparation of the GPN/GCE

The bare GCE was polished with 0.05 µm alpha alumina powder before it was used, rinsed ultrasonically with dilute HNO\(_3\), ethanol and double distilled water, respectively and dried at room temperature. Graphene was dispersed in ethanol (1 mg/mL) with ultrasonication for 30 minutes. Five microliters of graphene suspension was cast on the surface of GCE and dried in air.

### 2.5. Sample preparation and measurement procedures

Urine samples were collected from healthy persons. 2 ml of aliquot of urine sample was transferred to 100 ml calibrated flask and diluted to the mark with phosphate buffer solutions. These urine samples were analysed immediately or they were stored at -18°C until analysis. Further, LSV technique has been carried for the analysis of AAP in urine samples by using standard addition method.

The GPN/GCE in the three electrode system was immersed in 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 3.0) containing known amount of AAP. The linear sweep voltamogram (LSV) were recorded -1.2 to 2.4 V after open circuit accumulation for 180 s with stirring. The oxidation peak current of AAP was measured, the parameter of LSV were, pulse with of 0.2 s pulse increment of 4 mV, pulse period of 0.5 s pulse amplitude of 50mV and scan rate of 0.05 mVs\(^{-1}\). To study the accuracy of the proposed method and to check the interference from experiments, recovery experiments were carried out. The concentration of AAP was calculated by using standard addition method.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. Characterization of Graphene

Graphene and graphite dispersed in ethanol were characterized by scanning electron microscope (SEM). Figure 1 (a) shows the SEM image of the simple graphite powder with unclear surface structure whereas in Figure 1 (b) i.e. SEM image of graphene powder showed a well cleared surface with spongy type shining structure. This reveals that graphene might have provided larger active surface area for electro catalytic oxidation of AAP than graphite powder.

**Figure 1**
(a) SEM images of the surface of graphite powder
Electrochemical investigation of potassium ferricyanide at graphene modified GCE

Potassium ferricyanide $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$ was used as the electrochemical redox probe to investigate the electrochemical properties of GPN/GCE (Figure 2). The cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of GPN/GCE showed that the redox peak current increased compared to bare GCE. At the bare GCE the cyclic voltammogram of $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$ (Curve i) showed a pair of redox peaks with the anodic peak potential at 221 mV and the cathodic peak potential at 65.9 mV in 0.1M KCl. The GPN/GCE shows a pair of redox peaks (curve ii). The anodic peak potential was located at 316.8 mV and the cathodic peak potential at 43.3 mV respectively. The results of the enhancement of peak current showed excellent catalytic activity of GPN/GCE.

Figure 2 Cyclic voltammograms obtained for 1.0 mM $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$ solution at scan rate of 100 mV s$^{-1}$ for (i) GCE and (ii) GPN/GCE.
3.3. Electrochemical behavior of AAP on GPN/GCE

Figure 3 displayed the cyclic voltammograms of 1mM AAP at GPN/GCE (curve i) and GCE (curve iii) in 0.2 M (pH 3.0), respectively. The curve (ii) in Figure 3 was blank voltammograms of GPN/GCE showing one reduction peak. The voltammogram obtained for AAP at GCE (curve i) shows no redox peaks. However, in the case of GPN/GCE (Figure 3), two oxidation peaks and one reduction peak which was also observed in the absence of AAP, were observed. So, only oxidation peaks can be assigned to AAP. The peak (B) has better shape as compared to peak (A). Hence, the peak (B) was considered for the analysis. The significantly enhanced voltammetric response of AAP on GPN/GCE could be reasonably ascribed to the large specific surface area and electrocatalytic activity of GPN film.

For further investigating the redox properties of AAP at the GPN/GCE, successive cyclic scan was performed in a 1mM AAP solution. It could be seen that the anodic peak current decreased obviously in the second scan compared with that of the first one, and gradually reduced with successive cyclic sweep. The reason may be that the oxidation product of AAP, adhered to the electrode surface and hindered the access of AAP. Accordingly, the first cyclic sweep was adopted.

3.4. Influence of pH

The effect of solution pH on the voltammetric response of AAP (1mM) was investigated in pH range from 3.0 to 9.2 as shown in Figure 4 (a). As increasing the pH, the peak potential of AAP shifted negatively from 1.53 to 1.51 V as shown in Figure 4(b). The shift in Ep with pH refers to a proton transfer in the electrochemical oxidation of AAP.
The potential diagram was constructed by plotting the graph of peak B potentials, $E_p$ versus pH of the buffer with good linearity (Figure 4 (c)). The slope obtained was 58.9 mV/pH (equation 1); it reveals that the number electrons and protons involved in the reaction are equal.\textsuperscript{40} From the Figure 4 (b), it was clear that the peak current was high for the 1.0 mM AAP in the supporting medium at pH 3. Therefore, for further determination of AAP, the pH 7 was selected as the optimum pH value.

$$\log I_{pa}(A) = -0.0581 \text{pH} + 1.22; \quad (r = 0.6813) \quad (1)$$

**Figure 4(a)** Cyclic voltammograms obtained for 1.0 mM AAP at GPN/GCE with potential scan rate 50 mVs$^{-1}$ in phosphate buffer solution of pH (i) 3.0, (ii) 4.0, (iii) 5.0, (iv) 7.0 , (v) 8.0, and (vi) 9.2

**Figure 4 (b)** Variation of peak potential with pH for 1.0 mM AAP.
(c) Variation of peak current with pH for 1.0 mM AAP

3.5. Effect of scan rate

The influence of scan rate plays an important role in voltammetric oxidation reactions. So, it is important to evaluate the dependence of peak current and peak potential on the scan rate and whether the electrode reaction was adsorption or diffusion controlled process. GPN/GCE showed increase in the redox peak currents with increase in the scan rate from 25 to 275 mV/s in 0.20 M phosphate buffer solution as shown in Figure 5 (a).

A good linearity was observed between logarithm of peak B current and logarithm of scan rate as shown in Figure 5(b) with slope value 0.37 indicating the electrode reaction was purely diffusion controlled and the linear equation is as follows.

$$\log I_{pa}(A) = 0.3692 \log v \ (Vs^{-1}) + 0.0363; \ (r = 0.874) \quad (2)$$

Laviron equation (equation 3) has been employed to find out the number of electrons transferred (n) and heterogeneous rate constant ($k^0$) for an irreversible electrode reaction.

$$E_p = E^0 + \left( \frac{2.303RT}{anF} \right) \log \left( \frac{Rk^0}{anF} \right) + \left( \frac{2.303RT}{anF} \right) \log v \quad (3)$$

where, $\alpha$ is the transfer coefficient, $v$ the scan rate and $E^0$ is the formal standard redox potential. Other symbols have their usual meaning. The $\alpha n$ was calculated by using the slope of plot of $E_p$ versus $\log v$ (equation 4, Figure 5 (c)),

$$E_p (V) = 0.136 \log v \ (Vs^{-1}) + 1.319; \ (r = 0.9718) \quad (4)$$

Bard and Faulkner formula (equation 5) was used to evaluate the value of $\alpha$.

$$\alpha = \frac{47.7}{E_p - E_{p/2}} \ \text{mV} \quad (5)$$
The values of $\alpha_n$ and $\alpha$ were found to be 0.43 and 0.1. So, the number of electrons (n) transferred in electro-oxidation of AAP was calculated to be $4.3 \sim 4$. The heterogeneous rate constant, $k^0$ can be evaluated if the value of $E^0$ is known. The value of $E^0$ found to be 1.51 which was intercept of plot of $E_p$ versus $v$ extrapolated at $v = 0$. Thus, the value of $k^0$ was found to be $5.7 \times 10^1$ s$^{-1}$.

**Figure 5(a)** Cyclic voltammograms obtained for 1.0 mM AAP at GPN/GCE in phosphate buffer solution of pH 3.0 at scan rates of (i) 25, (ii) 75, (iii) 125, (iv) 175, (v) 225, and (vi) 275 mVs$^{-1}$

**Figure 5 (b)** Linear relationship between the log $I_p$ and log $v$ for peak B

**Figure 5 (c)** Linear relationship between the peak potential and logarithmic scan rate for peak B
3.6. Analytical applications

The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) technique was applied to the determination of AAP since, it produced peak current with much more intense than that produced by cyclic voltammetry (CV). In order to estimate the sensor’s detection limit, selectivity and sensitivity a calibration curve of the dependence of peak current on analyte’s concentration was established in the larger concentration range (Figure 6 (a)). There was a linear relationship between peak current and [AAP] over the concentration range from 1 – 15 nM (Figure 6 (b)) with correlation coefficient 0.9612 and corresponding linear equation is

\[ Ip(10^{-4} A) = 0.1195[AAP](nM) + 2.868; R^2 = 0.9612 \] (6)

The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) were calculated using the equation (7).

\[ \text{LOD} = 3s/m \]
\[ \text{LOQ} = 10s/m \] (7)

where \( s \) is the standard deviation of the peak current of (five runs) of the lowest concentration of the linearity range (1.0 nM) and \( m \) is the slope of the calibration curve. Therefore, the values of LOD and LOQ were found to be 0.52 nM and 1.75 nM respectively. The detection limits of earlier reports were listed in the Table 1. So, it was clear that the present method proposes lower LOD and LOQ values compared to previous reports in the literature.
Figure 6 (a) Linear sweep voltammograms of AAP at different concentrations of (i) 1.0, (ii) 3.0, (iii) 5.0, (iv) 7.0, (v) 9.0, (vi) 1.1, (vii) 1.3, (viii) 1.5, (ix) 1.7, (x) 1.9 and (xi) 2.1 nM

(b) Plot of the peak current against concentration of AAP.

Table 1
Comparison of some methods for the determination for AAP related dipyrone derivative drugs by different methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dipyrones</th>
<th>Sensors Used</th>
<th>LOD</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow injection</td>
<td>amperometric determination</td>
<td>2.78×10⁻⁴M</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IJCRT189339 | International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT) www.ijcrt.org | 399

3.7. Stability and reproducibility

In order to study the stability and reproducibility of the electrode, a 1μM AAP solution were measured with the same electrode (renewed every time) for every several hours within day, the RSD of the peak current was 0.64% (number of measurements = 5). As to the within a day reproducibility, it was similar to that of between a day if the temperature was kept almost unchanged which could be attributed to the excellent stability and reproducibility.

3.8. Interference study

Excipients are formulated alongside active ingredients of medicines to grant therapeutic enhancement on the active part of the medicine. So, it is important to study the effect of excipients on the electrocatalytic oxidative detection of AAP. This investigation was carried out using the fixed 1.0 × 10^{-6} M standard AAP solution spiked with various excess of each excipient under optimized standard conditions. A thousand-fold of excess concentration of each excipients, citric acid, sucrose, CuSO₄, lactose, glucose, KCl, MgSO₄ and tartaric acid did not interfere (Table 2). Therefore, the proposed method can be used as a selective one.
Influence of potential interferents on the voltametric response of 1µM AAP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excipients (1 mM)</th>
<th>Current × 10⁻⁴ A</th>
<th>Potential (Ep)</th>
<th>Signal Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAP</td>
<td>2.886</td>
<td>1.520</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAP+Citric acid</td>
<td>3.576</td>
<td>1.541</td>
<td>-1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAP+Sucrose</td>
<td>3.554</td>
<td>1.545</td>
<td>-1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAP+CuSO₄</td>
<td>3.242</td>
<td>1.537</td>
<td>-1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAP+Lactose</td>
<td>3.183</td>
<td>1.549</td>
<td>-1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAP+Glucose</td>
<td>2.598</td>
<td>1.549</td>
<td>-1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAP+KCl</td>
<td>3.941</td>
<td>1.541</td>
<td>-1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAP+MgSO₄</td>
<td>3.576</td>
<td>1.549</td>
<td>-1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAP+Tartaric acid</td>
<td>3.682</td>
<td>1.541</td>
<td>-1.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.9. Analytical applications

In order to examine the sensitivity of the modified electrode graphene film modified glassy carbon electrode, the differential pulse voltammetric technique was employed for the detection of AAP in different aliquots of prepared stock urine sample as described in experimental section. The recoveries from urine were measured by spiking drug free urine with known amounts of AAP. A quantitative analysis can be carried out by adding the standard solution of AAP into the detect system of urine samples. The calibration graph was used for the determination of spiked AAP in urine samples. The detection results of five urine samples obtained show good recovery range from 97.03 to 100.63 % as shown in Table 3

Table 3 Determination of AAP in urine samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added (nM)</th>
<th>Current × 10⁻⁴ (A)</th>
<th>Potential (Ep)</th>
<th>Found a (nM)</th>
<th>Recovery(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.253</td>
<td>1.514</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>99.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.027</td>
<td>1.508</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>99.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.197</td>
<td>1.484</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>98.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.373</td>
<td>1.522</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>100.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.470</td>
<td>1.458</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td>97.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Average of five determinations.
Conclusions

The results of the present work demonstrated that GPN/GCE exhibit electrocatalytic effect on AAP oxidation. In comparison with the bare a GPN/GCE, the resulting GPN/GCE showed better performance, such as obvious enhanced peak potential and peak background current. The GPN/GCE was then used for the determination of AAP in a linear range of 1 nM – 15 nM with detection limit of 0.52 nM. The GPN/GCE possessed high selectivity, sensitivity, stability and a low detection limit, which makes it suitable for facilitating the electrocatalytic activity for the oxidation of AAP determination. Moreover, the GPN/GCE was also applied for the determination of AAP in urine samples with satisfactory results making it practical for routine analysis. The proposed method is suitable for quality control laboratories as well as pharmacokinetic studies where economy and time are essential.
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