

HUMANISM HAS ITS HISTORIC ROOTS IN HUMAN CIVILIZATION THROUGH ELLISON'S NOVEL 'INVISIBLE MAN'

Dr. KISHORE KIMAR CHINTALA

Lecturer in English,
KVR, KVR & MKR College,
Khajipalem –522329
Andhra Pradesh.

Abstract

Man is a gregarious animal. He has reasoning faculty bestowed by the invisible force by whatever name He is called. Communal bonding in reciprocation to the rest of the counterparts is felt in virtues and adversities. The reflections are imminent but complementary. The strength and weakness of human beings as individuals and as a group have volumes to speak. Human beings aspire for personal recognition. The secular spirit dawned with the social contract that appeared on the foundations of modern renaissance thrust which bore fruit and finally attained its ultimate form in the frame of secular and humanistic spirit. The common human motivation which is more or less common to all human civilizations and cultures attained its ultimate and humanistic formulation which incarnated in the present thrive of humanistic thought in this existential manifestations of Black writers. Ralph Ellison is one among those writers which is the area of present study of *Invisible Man*. The driving force behind the entire study is 'humanism' in the realms of African-American Society. The spiritual insight which sought the perfection and salvation for the African-Americans is overwhelming across the Black arena.

Key Words: African Humanism,

INTRODUCTION:

The Invisible Man, as observed in Ralph Ellison's novel, is not a ghost or a spirit but a visible body made of flesh and bones like everybody else, but the pang is that people refuse to see him. They take no notice of him and pay no attention to him. People think him to be too unimportant a person to be recognized as really having an identity. The visible persons in this world are public representatives, cricketers, film stars, doctors, attorneys, engineers and other intellectuals including eminent authors of all kind, the rest of population in any country consists of invisible men who do exist in a physical or bodily sense but who receive no attention from others. In other words the common people or the masses belong to the category of invisible persons. Analyzing the modern novelist's task, Ellison observed that he had to find, "for himself and for his readers some new insight into the human predicament, some new facet of human possibility".

The novel primarily communicated 'a vision' of a particular experience that achieved "its universality, if at all, through accumulating images of reality and arranging them in patterns" of a broader experience. Success would represent "metaphorically for the whole" enabling the reader to form 'a sense of humanity' and 'conception of human value' (*Going to the Territory, 1986: 242-257*). Every common man wishes to make it possible for others to see what is unseen, and to behold what is otherwise invisible to them. Ralph Ellison looks forward to the future for some new development of identity. The incapacity to see the people in flesh and bones, as seen in the novel *Invisible Man*, should be given a visual impression. The metaphysical blindness as elucidated in this novel should find a meaningful solution of logical reasoning which gives a solace to the suppressed classes, a healing touch of humaneness.

Humanism includes the development of human virtue, in all its forms, to its fullest extent. The term implies not only such qualities as are associated with the modern world humanity i.e. understanding, benevolence, compassion, mercy but also such more aggressive characteristics as fortitude, judgment, prudence, eloquence and even love of honor. Consequently the term 'humanity' could possibly be about of participation in active life of existence. Just as any action without due insight is held to be aimless and barbaric, insight without action is rejected as barren and imperfect. So humanism expects far a fine balance of action and contemplation, a balance born not of compromise but of complementarities. The goal of such fulfilled and balanced virtue is political in the broadest

sense of the world. In short, humanism calls for the comprehensive reform of culture, the transfiguration of what humanists termed the passive and ignorant society of a common man grows into new order that would reflect and encourage the grandest human potentialities. Eventually humanism is defined as an aspiration towards amelioration of virtue and wisdom.

The present century has been proclaimed as the Humanist Century. Though we would hesitate to label it thus, Humanism, though it has been spooking around in this world for centuries, has never enjoyed the popularity which it seems to enjoy today. There are those who would trace it back to the Greeks. Others consider it a product of the Renaissance. Some would credit Confucius for its origins. One could date it back to that moment in the Garden of Eden when Eve literally made “man the measure of all things,” looked upon the Tree of the Knowledge of Good and Evil as a Tree good for food, a Tree pleasant to the eyes, a tree to be desired to make one wise and took of the fruit thereof and did eat and gave also unto her husband with her and he did eat. Thus man became a law unto himself, placing his destiny into his own hands. Humanism thus experienced its earliest beginnings.

Humanism has its historic roots in human civilization. It has a long history behind it. For us, it has come to the fore in this twentieth century. Using powerful critical tools of science and logical analysis, modern man would find no special significance in the universe, nor special meaning or purpose, that he is not a special product of creation. Humanistic man now believes that he is responsible in a large measure for his own destiny. Living on a minor planet on the edge of a small galaxy in a vast universe, the Humanist believes that he cannot look outside himself for salvation. His future, if he has any, is within his own control. Science, he asserts, has emancipated man from the bondage of dogmatic religious mythology and has provided him with the instruments for remaking and re-ordering his life, improving and enhancing it immeasurably.

There are supposedly many kinds of Humanism. The term is widely used. There are the terms “ethical Humanism”, “scientific Humanism,” “religious Humanism,” “naturalistic Humanism,” “liberal Humanism,” “Humanistic psychology” and the like. Even Marxism has been viewed as a type of Humanism. Its adherents in these categories include primarily philosophers, psychologists, social-scientists, ethical and religious leaders.

- A wide diversity of Humanist viewpoints is prevalent in our society. In spite of this diversity, certain commonalities can be discerned. Humanists share a common point of view about man and his place in the universe. They are critical of super-naturalistic religion and authoritarian dogma. They have a full commitment to free thought, to the fulfillment of human potentialities and the democratic ideals of humanity as a whole. If there is a common thread running the gamut of Humanistic thought, it is the conviction that reason, man's intelligence, is the chief means of solving problems and the belief that through its proper use mankind can survive and humans can enjoy a significant life of their own making. "Man is the measure of all things." Man has potentially the intelligence, the good will and the cooperative skills necessary to survive on this planet, to explore space, to provide security and an opportunity for growth, adventure, meaning and fulfillment for all men.

Paul Kurtz in his *The Humanist Alternative* (May 1, 1973) takes the position that Humanism is neither a dogma nor a creed. Rather than defining Humanism explicitly, he offers the following characteristics which he feels contemporary Humanists emphasize.

First, Humanists have some confidence in man and they believe the only bases for morality are human experience and human needs. Second, many or most Humanists are opposed to all forms of super naturalistic and authoritarian religion. Third, many Humanists believe that scientific intelligence and critical reason can assist in reconstructing our moral values. And fourth, Humanism is humanitarian, in that, it is concerned with the good life and social justice as moral ideals.

It is the Humanistic viewpoint about moral values which troubles us greatly. Humanists assert that moral values must be removed from the mantle of theological dogma and they espouse the conviction that our moral ideals must be constantly re-examined and revised in the light of present needs and social demands. We very well know that the present era in which we live is a revolutionary one, involving the questioning of basic foundations, structures, beliefs and values. Kurtz associates revolution with Humanism, especially with its critique of religious, ideological, and moralistic philosophies that tend to deny the most genuine qualities of man's existence. Kurtz feels such a reappraisal and modification is long overdue and finally informs us, "The dam has burst and the old moral mythology is now being lampooned."

The basic assumption in all this is the conviction that the good life is achieved when we realize the human potential. In short, we ought to reject all creeds and dogmas that impede human fulfillment or impose external authoritarian rules upon human beings. The God-given moral commandments are repressive of man's human needs. Human individuality is not to be smothered nor human autonomy restricted. A good life which fuses pleasure and creative self-realization is possible, says the Humanist, and men can discover ways of enriching experience, actualizing potentialities, and achieving happiness.

The new morality espoused by the Humanists fosters moral liberation and freedom. This then is part of the libertarian ethic with roots deep in the tradition of Locke, Paine and Jefferson. A just society is one that ought to allow people to satisfy their tastes, fulfill their moral and aesthetic visions and guide their destinies as they see fit, without undue social pressure or governmental restriction. This viewpoint expresses itself in many ways. Evidence of its effects on life today abounds in abundant measure. There is today a more tolerant attitude toward sexual freedom and a demand that law against abortion and birth control be repealed. There is a change in public attitude about pornography and obscenity, an increased acceptance of nudity on stage and in the cinema and in a conviction that society should not impose narrow standards of censorship on human kind. Sexual relations between consenting adults should be beyond the range of the law. Modification is sought in severe penalties against possession and use of drugs. Mature persons should tolerate divergent lifestyles even though they may not always approve of them. But by any means it is unacceptable as it thwarts the healthy social order.

Another principle pivotal in today's Humanist moral revolution is the demand for equal rights, the search for community. We do not object when equal-rights demands are made in certain areas such as greater toleration and more humane treatment of minority groups such as Blacks, Chicanos and Native Americans. We wonder where demands for equal rights in all areas of life even for the homosexually "gay" people will take us. Reasonable restriction to bring order in society should not be overruled.

Yet another principle of the Humanistic moral revolution is its insistence on participatory democracy. According to this belief, individuals ought to have some decision over their lives. "Power to the people" is a slogan not only to be applied to the political arena but to the school, the church, and organizations of all kinds. We are

grateful that the Humanist recognizes that the demand for participation needs to be balanced against the need to maintain standards of excellence.

So long as there are no harmful social consequences, notably venereal disease and unwanted children are avoided, personal integrity and respect for others is sustained, it is of little ethical consequence whether an individual's behavior might be acceptable. Euthanasia, abortion, family planning, and suicide are other matters that should be left to the individual conscience. By now the flavor of Humanism should be coming through quite strong, and so let us get back to the problem of precise definition. The logic of definitions is quite complex, however. Sometimes definitions cause more problems than they solve. This is true also for definitions of Humanism. One danger lies in defining Humanism so broadly that it includes many who have defended monstrous crimes against man's freedom.

Other Humanists are fearful that Humanism be defined too narrowly. They feel individuals such as theologians who are comrades of their cause might be eliminated. Individuals with whom they feel a strong kinship might thus be eliminated as a fellow-traveler. A definition considered to be too broad is one given in the *Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. It reads, "Humanism is a philosophy which recognizes the value or dignity of man and makes him the measure of all things or somehow takes human nature, its limits or its interests as its theme." The objection lies in the belief that such a Definition could include almost everyone, even those who would deny the value of man. On the other hand, atheistic Humanists such as Bertrand Russell would be eliminated because the proposition that "man is the measure of all things" was to him "anathema", definitely unacceptable. Webster's dictionary offers the following definition: "A contemporary cult or belief calling itself religious and substituting faith in man for faith in God." Sidney Hook (Philosopher of Democracy and Humanism) sought to clarify what he thought Humanism was by stressing the negative. He stated:

I do not regard as Humanists any individuals or groups:

- 1) Who believe or support doctrines or practices that would impose one pattern of culture, language and life-style on all members of a group or community;
- 2) Who believe in an established Church or Churches, or that they have been vouchsafed revelations about their special character, justifying privileges and rights denied to other human beings;
- 3) who believe in or support through their own voluntary activities, dictatorships or minority political parties no matter whether they be called 'organic', 'directed', 'higher', or 'socialist' democracies;
- 4) Who deny community responsibility for the elimination of human hunger and for the progressive realization of civilized standards, health, welfare, and education?
- 5) Who denigrate the use of intelligence; justify violence in human affairs as the most effective method of achieving social reform, substitute lynch law for judicial process, who opposes opportunities for racial integration.

If one should propose a short positive definition on the basis of these negations, a Humanist today would be one who relies on the arts of intelligence to defend, enlarge and enhance the areas of freedom in the world. To the problems of everyday life they bring the judgment of its own efficacy of human intelligence. Humanism is a concept of man which espouses a sensitive regard for each man from his own end and for man as responsible for man. Man has his own law and his own destiny, as found in the poem *Invictus* (1888) "*We are the masters of fate and we are the captains of our soul*". Human life is in his own hands. The strategy of living is to adopt and adapt and not to obey and confine as the process of life style is and culture is dynamic, ever growing and ever glowing.

Van Praag (1989) takes the following position: "Humanism is a moral conviction. Therefore it is more suited for a clarifying description than for an unambiguous definition." He would seek to define Humanism by formulating its basic starting points. Van Praag offers the following as basic to Humanism:

- 1) *Equality*: Men are similar in biological and mental structure. Men live principally in a common world.
- 2) *Secularity*: Men spring from a world of which they are a natural part. As intentional beings they shape their world.
- 3) *Liberty*: Men must shape their lives by deciding in freedom. Freedom means freedom of choice.
- 4) *Fraternity*: Men are designated for community. The community shapes the individual. Self-determination in community provides for the meaning of human life and a standard for moral judgment.
- 5) *Evaluation*: Men are evaluating beings. Reason is rationally applied to evaluations.
- 6) *Experience*. The world can be experienced by identification and observation. These provide knowledge of value.
- 7) *Existence*. Men and world are interdependent. The world is a human world and men secular.
- 8) *Completeness*. The world is complete. It is not dependent on a Creator nor is there an empty space left vacant by an absent Creator.
- 9) *Evolution*. The world is dynamic in its evolutionary and causal structure. It is conceived as developing in lawful coherence.
- 10) *Contingency*. The world does not by itself contribute meaning. Man contributes meaning to his existence by his interpretation of reality.

Here we have a statement of the common basis of Humanist thinking and acting.

John Herman Randall Jr. would define Humanism as a certain religious temper; certain set of values which involve an attitude toward and an appraisal of the nature and the possibilities of man and his essential needs. This Humanist temper holds that men should place their faith in man himself, in his infinite possibilities. In a word, faith in intelligence and in man is Humanism. Three major factors according to Randall comprise the Humanist temper.

“There is, in the first place, an emphasis on the power and dignity of man, on the worth of the human personality. There is, secondly, an emphasis on the obligation to respect and cultivate the dignity and worth of oneself and in others. Thirdly, there is an emphasis on responsibility, on self-control, on self-direction towards integrity and wholeness as the foundation on which the others rest. Of these three factors, the underlying one, responsibility, implies that in some meaningful human sense man is free. This means of course that man is free only insofar as what used to be called reason and now is called intelligence is free to discover truth--especially truth about what is good.” (Humanist Manifesto : 1933)

The Humanist in religion tells us that “humanism is man’s effort to free religious faith and dogma from the dogma of theistic theology and the supernatural.” He usually rejects the existence of God and all theories of world purpose.

Religion should be abolished because it is fraudulent. Science and critical scholarship must be marshaled in dealing with ancient documents including the Bible in order to arrive at the authentic in religion.

Algernon Black (Without Burnt Offerings, 1974) would define Humanism as “that philosophy of life which calls men to shed the dogmas and the divisions of traditional religion, to reject revealed religion.” We could continue with other definitions, expositions, declared viewpoints and beliefs. Let us now move on and examine documents which set forth the viewpoints of the Humanist for the era in which we now live. Let us examine “A Humanist Manifesto I” and “Humanist Manifesto II”. The former was developed by Roy Wood Sellars. He tells us how it came into being.

During the 1930s I was invited by a small group of people, teachers and ministers, to give a talk at the University of Chicago on the situation in religion. The outcome was that I was asked to formulate basic principles along Humanistic lines. I called my formulations “A Humanist Manifesto.” I sent it back and received suggestions, some of which I incorporated in the “Manifesto”. It was then published with the signatures of many outstanding persons in the religious field and is now called an historical document. (Manifesto I– 1933).

While it expresses the viewpoint of the religious Humanist, it does help us understand the basics of Humanism in general. The second document we would examine is called “Humanist Manifesto II”. It appeared forty years after “Manifesto I”. It speaks out in the following areas: religion, ethics, the individual, democratic society, world community and humanity as a whole. Its authors hope that “Manifesto II” can offer an affirmative and hopeful vision needed as we approach the twenty-first century, one that can serve present-day needs and guide humankind toward the future.

Humanism looks forward to rebirth of a lost human spirit and wisdom. In the course of striving to recover it, the humanists assisted in the consolidation of a new spiritual and intellectual outlook and in the development of new body of knowledge. The effect of humanism should promote a new confidence in the possibilities of new thoughts and creations. It is a sense of liberating men and women from the structured ideologies simultaneously aspiring preciously, a deep human spirit’s search for its own nature. However in an ethical stance it lays an emphasis on human dignity, concerns and capabilities with particular regard to human rationality. Humanism is the most important characteristic and the base and foundation of Western civilization; and its reliance is on human beings. Of course it does not mean that the Western civilization is depleted of any other aspects of the civilization. There are various definitions of Humanism, but in general one could say that the “Human” is the greatest name in modern civilization from which all values of this civilization take root. And the concept of “Humanity” covers as a whole “good values” and is the measure of human conduct and behavior in today’s world. In other words, “Humanism” is the name that replaces the name of God which was the greatest name in the civilization of European “Middle Ages”.

“Humanism” includes an image of a universal species of “Human” who is the source of goodness and illuminates light of the world. And this universal species “Human” which includes all species of “Humanity” is an able sage (savant); he possesses free will and all things (among them whole nature) must obey his will; and all conducts and behaviors should consider his welfare and goodness. Today this is the specious form of “Human” which is worshipped under the name of manifestation of perfection of the existing world; and the world is full of uproar about it.

CONCLUSION

The present century has been proclaimed as the Humanist Century. Though we would hesitate to label it thus, Humanism, though it has been spooking around in this world for centuries, has never enjoyed the popularity which it seems to enjoy today. Johan Howard Griffin’s *Black Like Me* is an account of White man becoming a Black Man through medication. The epidermalisation of identity has led to the historical attempt of Howard Griffin’s physical metamorphosis. This has remained as an exemplary action of the White man’s attitude towards understanding the problems of Black Man. The very action of Howard Griffin is understood to have been inspired by the moral indictment that Ralph Ellison has carried in *Invisible Man*. Ellison has compelled the white society the urgency reexamining project of “Humanism” upheld with pride since time immemorial. It was only in the 20th century there is a radical revision of “Humanism” that tried to accommodate the problems of Race, Class & Caste.

REFERENCES:

Ellison, Ralph Waldo (1952): *Invisible Man*. New York: Random House.

Invisible Man: Prologue to a Novel. *Partisan Review*, 19 (January-February 1952). Print

Invisible Man Horizon, 23 October 1947). Published as the battle royal chapter of the *Invisible Man*. print

-----, *Juneteenth*. *Quarterly Review of Literature*, 4 (1969). Print

-----, *Going to the Territory*. New York: Random House, 1986.

Second collection of essays, lectures, and interviews. Print

-----, *Shadow and Act*. Random House, New York, 1953. Print

Algernon, Black. (1974) *Without Burnt Offerings*, Print.

Azenabor, G. *Modern Theories in African Philosophy*.

Byolah Publishers: Lagos, 2010. Print.

Abbaganano, N. "Humanism" in Edwards, P. (Ed.) *The Encyclopedia of Philosophy*,

Vol. IV., Pp. 69-70. Macmillan Publishers: New York, 1967. Print

Awolalu, J.D. and Dopamu, P. *West African Traditional Religion*.

Onibonoje Press: Ibadan, 1977. Print

Amponsah, S. (2010). "Beyond the Boundaries: Toyin Falola on African Cultures" in

Niyi Afolabi, ed. *Toyin Falola: The Man, The Mask, The Muse*. North Carolina:

Carolina Academic Press. Print

Ameli S (2004). 'Globalization; Concepts and Theories', *Arganon*, No: 24. Print

Ata M (2001). *Modernity, Globalization and Iran*, Tehran, Chappakhsh

Pres., Print

Ahmadi B (2009). *The Meaning of Modernity*, Tehran, Markaz Press, Print

Akhavan K (2006). 'Bahram, The Formation and Stability of Secularism

in Christendom World', *Political Knowledge*, No: 4, Print Akerlof, George. 1997. "Social Distance and Social

Decisions." 1005-28. Print

Akerlof, George, and Rachel Kranton. 1998. "Economics and Identity."

Paper presented at an Institute on Race and Social Division workshop,

Boston University, January, Boston, Mass. Print

Anderson, Elijah. 1990. *Streetwise: Race, Class, and Change in an Urban Community*.

Chicago, Ill.: University of Chicago Press. -. 1999. *Code of the Streets*.

New York: W.W. Norton. Print

Achebe, C. (2002). *Things Fall Apart*. Ibadan:

William Heinemann Educational Books, Nazareth Press. Print

Achebe, C. (2004). *There Was a Country: A Memoir*.

<http://www.amazon.com/There-Was-Country-A-Memoir/dp/014312403X> Print

Ansah R. (2011). African concept of being, with special reference to

the concept of Witchcraft and medicine in Africa.

Retrieved from www.google.com/ansah_richard. Print

Asante, M. (2007). *The History of Africa*. USA: Routhledge. Print

