

Target Detection using Binary Coded Sequences

M V Nageswara Rao

Professor

Department of ECE

GMR Institute of Technology, Rajam, India

Abstract: This paper mainly focused on the detection of Radar targets using Binary coded sequences. Cross Ambiguity Function Technique is used as a tool for detection of targets using the Binary coded sequences as a transmitted signal. Cross Ambiguity Function Technique is considered as an alternative technique to identify the presence or absence of target in various scenarios such as single stationary target, multi stationary targets, single moving target, multi moving targets and multi stationary & moving targets.

Index Terms–Cross Ambiguity Function, Binary Sequences, Contour Plots.

I. INTRODUCTION

Pulse compression radar transmits a long duration pulse which is coded using phase or frequency modulation to achieve a wide bandwidth as well as to meet the requirement of energy for detection. The received echo is processed using a pulse compression filter to yield a narrow compressed pulse that separates closely spaced targets. However, this separation is accomplished at the cost of introducing sidelobes in the matched filter response, which may mask weak targets and possibly prevent their detection altogether. Therefore, in radar applications the pulse compression sequences with high discrimination factor are desired. In this context apart from the good sequences suggested in the literature, Binary sequences with good discrimination factor are proposed in [1-8].

In subsequent sections, since there is no conventional technique available in the literature, the use of Cross ambiguity Function (CAF) technique is considered to identify the presence or absence of targets in various scenarios are discussed. CAF is computed using binary coded transmitted signal and the received echo signal to demonstrate the detection of targets in different scenarios.

II. BINARY CODED SEQUENCES

The binary sequence containing N bits represented by a complex signal, can be expressed as

$$S(n) = e^{j\phi(n)} \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N \quad (1)$$

where $\phi(n)$ is the phase of the n^{th} bit of a signal. For a binary sequence the number of phases, $M = 2$ and the phase values are $\phi(n) \in \{0, \pi\}$ Binary coded signal [1] of length 32, 100, 200 and 500 are considered to study the detection of targets in various scenarios.

III. CROSS-AMBIGUITY FUNCTION

The cross-ambiguity function (CAF) describes the response of a radar system to an impulse-like (point) target located at an arbitrary range and Doppler shift. In this sense, the cross –ambiguity function can be thought of as the impulse response of the radar. The ambiguity function is also referred to as the matched-filter response, and the uncertainty function [9]. The cross-ambiguity function is also related to the cyclic cross-correlation function as discussed in [10].

The cross-ambiguity function of radar is a rigorous mathematical description of radar's response to an ideal point target moving at a constant range rate. The cross-ambiguity function is therefore a two dimensional function of range delay τ and Doppler shift ν . The cross-ambiguity function $\chi_{xy}(\tau, \nu)$ of the signal $x(t)$ with the signal $y(t)$ is defined as

$$\chi_{xy}(\tau, \nu) = \frac{1}{T_d} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t) y(t - \tau) e^{j2\pi\nu t} dt \quad (2)$$

where T_d is the duration of the signal $x(t)$, τ is the time delay between waveforms, and ν is the Doppler shift introduced by the moving target and $\chi_{xy}(\tau, \nu)$ describe the output of the radar receiver for various values of τ and ν .

IV. TARGET DETECTION SCENARIOS

Ambiguity function is in general used for analysis of radar wave forms. However in this work CAF is used for extracting the range and Doppler information of target. The binary sequences of length 100, 200 and 500 are examined for target detection by using cross ambiguity function. Details of the simulation study are presented and various target detection

scenarios are tested. All simulations are performed by using MATLAB Programming Language. At these scenarios, following parameters are considered. f_c =Carrier frequency (Giga Hz), V_{\max} =Maximum target velocity (m/s), R_{\max} =Maximum Range (m), t_b = Sub code Period (μ s), Δf =Doppler frequency Resolution (m/s), ΔR = Range Resolution (m), ΔV =Velocity Resolution (m/s). All the simulations are performed taking pulse width 133 μ s and the velocity resolution = 37.5m/s. The resolution values are calculated using the following formulae and listed in table 1.

$$\text{Range Resolution } \Delta R = \frac{c}{2B} \quad (3)$$

where B is equal to $\frac{1}{t_b}$ in phase coding and $\frac{N^2}{T}$ for frequency coding.

$$\text{Velocity resolution } \Delta v = \frac{c}{2f_0 T} \quad (4)$$

where f_0 is the carrier frequency of the waveform.

Table.1. Parameters for various target scenarios.

Length of Binary Code	f_c (Giga Hz)	V_{\max} (m/s)	R_{\max} (m)	t_b (μ sec)	Δf (Hz)	ΔR (m)	ΔV (m/s)
500	30	3750	20000	0.2667	7500	40	37.5
200	30	3750	20000	0.6667	7500	100	37.5
100	30	3750	20000	1.3333	7500	200	37.5
32	30	3750	20000	4.1167	7500	625	37.5

SINGLE STATIONARY TARGET SCENARIO

Assuming a stationary target situated at 5000 meter away from the radar. i.e. $R = 5000$ m. and $v = 0$ m/s. The transmitted CW signal is coded by using the Binary sequence of length 500, 200, 100 and 32 the resulting cross-ambiguity function contour plot with an enlargement around the peak point is shown in Figure 1.a, 1.b, 1.c and 1.d respectively. It is measured from the figs.1 that that, the range resolution for sequence length of 500, 200, 100 and 32 is 40m, 100m 200m and 625m respectively and target is detected at 5000m far from the radar. The resolution values listed in the table 1 are verified from fig.1 (a-d). From fig.1 it is observed that, as the sequence length is decreased more sidelobe levels are appeared. In multi target environment high sidelobe levels may lead to ambiguity in detection.

SINGLE MOVING TARGET SCENARIO

In this scenario simulation is carried assuming a target at 5000 meter away from the radar moving with a velocity 75m/s. i.e. $R = 5000$ m and $v = 75$ m/s. The transmitted CW signal is coded by using the Binary sequence of length 500, 200, 100, and 32. The resulting cross-ambiguity function contour plots with magnification near the peak is shown in Figure 2a, 2b, 2c and 2d respectively. The target situated at 5000m far from the radar moving with a velocity $v = 75$ m/s. is detected.

MULTI STATIONARY TARGET SCENARIO

In this scenario, for the sequence length 500, 200, 100 and 32 the five stationary targets considered for simulation at different locations is as follows.

Sequence Length 500: ($R_1 = 5000$ m $R_2 = 5080$ m $R_3 = 5160$ m $R_4 = 5240$ m $R_5 = 5320$ with $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = v_4 = v_5 = 0$ m/s)

Sequence Length 200 : ($R_1 = 5000$ m $R_2 = 5200$ m $R_3 = 5400$ m $R_4 = 5600$ m $R_5 = 5800$ with $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = v_4 = v_5 = 0$ m/s).

Sequence length 100 : ($R_1 = 5000$ m $R_2 = 5400$ m $R_3 = 5800$ m $R_4 = 6200$ m $R_5 = 6400$ with $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = v_4 = v_5 = 0$ m/s).

Sequence length 32 : ($R_1 = 5000$ m $R_2 = 6250$ m $R_3 = 7500$ m $R_4 = 8750$ m $R_5 = 10000$ m with $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = v_4 = v_5 = 0$ m/s).

The transmitting signal is coded with binary sequence of length 500, 200, 100 and 32 and the resulting cross-contour plots for the ambiguity function of the transmitted and received radar signal with enlargement around the peak points for the sequence length of 500, 200 100 and 32 are shown in figure 3a, 3b, 3c and 3d respectively. It is evident from fig.3a, 3b and 3c the targets are detected at chosen locations without any ambiguity. However from fig.3d it is observed that several targets are appeared instead of five targets. When three targets are considered, targets are detected without any ambiguity (not shown in figure). Since the discrimination (D) of 32 bit binary sequence is low the sidelobes near the mainlobe are added up and appeared as several targets instead of five. It is evident from figures 3a to 3d as the sequence length is reduces the sidelobe levels are increased.

MULTI MOVING TARGET SCENARIO

In this scenario five moving targets at a range of 5000m/s moving with different velocities are considered for simulation. ($R_1=R_2=R_3=R_4=R_5=5000$ m with $v_1=0$ m/s, $v_2=75$ m/s, $v_3=150$ m/s, $v_4=225$ m/s, $v_5=300$ m/s). The transmitting signal is coded with binary sequence of length 500, 200, 100 and 32. The resulting cross-contour plots for the ambiguity function of the transmitted and received radar signal with enlargement around the peak points for the sequence length of 500, 200, 100 and 32 are shown in figure 4a, 4b, 4c and 4d respectively. It is obvious from fig.4a-c that all the targets are detected at chosen locations without any ambiguity. However from fig.4d it is observed that several targets are appeared instead of five targets. When three targets are considered, targets are detected without any ambiguity (not shown in figure). Since the discrimination (D) of 32 bit binary sequence is low the sidelobes near the mainlobe are added up and appeared as several targets instead of five. It is clear from figures 4 (a-c) that as the sequence length is reduces the sidelobe levels are increased.




Fig.1a




Fig.1b




Fig.1c




Fig.1d

Fig.1 Single Stationary target scenario.

Contour plots of Cross - Ambiguity Function of the transmitted and received signal for Binary sequence. ($R=5000$ m, $v=0$ m/ sec)

- a) Binary sequence of Length 500
c) Binary sequence of Length 100

- b) Binary sequence of Length 200
d) Binary sequence of Length 32




Fig.2a




Fig.2b




Fig.2c




Fig.2d

Fig.3 Single moving target scenario

Contour plots of Cross - Ambiguity Function of the transmitted and received signal for Binary sequence.
($R=5000\text{m}$, $v=75\text{ m/sec}$)

a) Binary sequence of Length 500
c) Binary sequence of Length 100

b) Binary sequence of Length 200
d) Binary sequence of Length 32




Fig.3a




Fig.3b




Fig.3c




Fig.3d

Fig.3 Multi Stationary Target Scenario

Contour plots of Cross - Ambiguity Function of the transmitted and received signal for Binary sequence.

a) Binary sequence of length 500:

($R_1=5000$ m $R_2 = 5080$ m $R_3=5160$ m $R_4=5240$ m $R_5=5320$ with $v_1= v_2= v_3= v_4 = v_5=0$ m/s)

b) Binary sequence of length 200:

($R_1=5000$ m $R_2 = 5200$ m $R_3=5400$ m $R_4=5600$ m $R_5=5800$ with $v_1= v_2= v_3= v_4 = v_5=0$ m/s).

c) Binary sequence of Length 100:

($R_1=5000$ m $R_2 = 5400$ m $R_3=5800$ m $R_4=6200$ m $R_5=6400$ with $v_1= v_2= v_3= v_4 = v_5=0$ m/s).

d) Binary sequence of Length 32:

($R_1=5000$ m $R_2 = 6250$ m $R_3=7500$ m $R_4=8750$ m $R_5=10000$ m with $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = v_4 = v_5= 0$ m/s).




Fig.4a




Fig.4b




Fig.4c




Fig.4d

Fig.4 Multi Moving Target Scenario

Contour plots of Cross - Ambiguity Function of the transmitted and received signal for Binary sequence.

.($R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = R_4 = R_5 = 5000$ m with $v_1 = 0$ m/s, $v_2 = 75$ m/s, $v_3 = 150$ m/s, $v_4 = 225$ m/s, $v_5 = 300$ m/s)

a) Binary sequence of length 500
c) Binary sequence of Length 100

b) Binary sequence of length 200
d) Binary sequence of Length 32




Fig.5a




Fig.5b




Fig.5c




Fig.5d

Fig.5 Multi Stationary and Multi Moving Target Scenario

Contour plots of Cross - Ambiguity Function of the transmitted and received signal for Binary sequence.

a) Binary sequence of length 500

Stationary targets ($R_1=5000$ m, $R_2 = 5160$ m, $R_3=5320$ m). Moving targets ($R_4=5080$ m $R_5=5240$ m with $v_4 = v_5 = 75$ m/s).

b) Binary sequence of length 200

Stationary targets ($R_1=5000$ m, $R_2 = 5400$ m $R_3=5800$ m).Moving targets ($R_4=5200$ m $R_5=5600$ m with $v_4 = v_5 = 75$ m/s).

c) Binary sequence of Length 100

Stationary targets ($R_1=5000$ m $R_2 = 5800$ m $R_3=6600$ m).Moving targets ($R_4=5400$ m $R_5=6200$ m with $v_4 = v_5 = 75$ m/s).

d) Binary sequence of Length 32

Stationary targets ($R_1=5000$ m $R_2 = 7500$ m $R_3= 10000$ m) and two moving targets ($R_4=6250$ m $R_5=8750$ m with $v_4 = v_5 = 75$ m/s)

MULTI STATIONARY AND MULTI MOVING TARGET SCENARIO

In this scenario targets are considered for simulation are three for stationary and for two moving. The targets selected for simulation at different locations chosen are as follows

Sequence length 500:

Stationary targets ($R_1=5000$ m, $R_2 = 5160$ m, $R_3=5320$ m). Moving targets ($R_4=5080$ m $R_5=5240$ m with $v_4 = v_5 = 75$ m/s).

Sequence length 200:

Stationary targets ($R_1=5000$ m, $R_2 = 5400$ m $R_3=5800$ m).Moving targets ($R_4=5200$ m $R_5=5600$ m with $v_4 = v_5 = 75$ m/s).

Sequence length 100:

Stationary targets ($R_1=5000$ m $R_2 = 5800$ m $R_3=6600$ m).Moving targets ($R_4=5400$ m $R_5=6200$ m with $v_4 = v_5 = 75$ m/s).

Sequence length 32:

Stationary targets ($R_1=5000$ m $R_2 = 7500$ m $R_3= 10000$ m) and two moving targets ($R_4=6250$ m $R_5=8750$ m with $v_4 = v_5 = 75$ m/s)

The resulting cross-contour plots for the ambiguity function of the transmitted and received radar signal with enlargement around the peak points is shown in fig. 5 (a-d). It is observed that in all the cases the targets are detected exactly at the assumed locations.

The contour plots are drawn between delay and Doppler frequency for all the scenarios and it is observed that the targets are detected exactly at the selected locations.

V. CONCLUSIONS

CAF technique is considered to identify the presence or absence of targets. It is evident from the contour plots shown in Figs. 1 to 5 that using the binary sequences of length 500, 200 and 100, the targets are detected successfully even in complex situation such as - (i) five targets at same distance from the radar but moving with different velocities (ii) five stationary targets at different ranges. Since, the sidelobe suppression and discrimination (D) of 32 bit sequence is less compared to the higher length sequences, due to which a few of the peak sidelobes of different targets are added up that leads to an ambiguity in the case of multi-moving and multi-stationary target scenarios. However, it is clearly evident from the Figs. from 1 to 5 that as the sequence length increases the resolution as well as detection performance also increases. It is concluded that, CAF technique can be used as an alternative for radar target detection in all scenarios.

REFERENCES

- [1]M.V.NageswaraRao, K. Raja Rajeswari, "Target Detection with Cross Ambiguity Function using Binary Sequences with high Discrimination", International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887), Vol.16– No.4, pp.8-11, Feb.2011.
- [2]Moharir P.S, "Signal design", Int. J. Electron, 41, pp.381-398, 1976.
- [3] Barker. R. H, "Group Synchronization of binary digital systems", in Communication theory, W.Jackson, Ed. London, U.K: Butterworths, pp.273-287. 1953.
- [4]Seguin G, "Binary sequences with specified correlation properties", Tech. Rept, No.EE 7103, University of Notre Dame, pp.1-49, Aug. 1971.
- [5]Boehmer A.M, "Binary Pulse Compression Codes", IEEE Trans. on IT, Vol-13, No.2, April.1967.
- [6] Lindner, J.L, "Binary sequences up to length 40 with best possible autocorrelation functions", Electron. Letters, Vol.11, pp. 507-508, Oct. 1975.
- [7]Beenker G.F.M, Claasen T.A.C.M &Heime P.W.C, "Binary sequences with a maximally flat amplitude spectrum", Phillips J. Res., 40, pp. 289-304, 1985.
- [8]Bernasconi J, "Low autocorrelation binary sequences: statistical mechanics and configuration space analysis", J. Phys, Vol.48, pp. 559-567, 1987.
- [9] Woodward. P.M, "Probability and information theory, with application to Radar", Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1953.
- [10]A Gardner, "Statistical Spectral Analysis", A Nonprobabilistic Theory, Prtice hall Publishing, 1988.